Any revisions of the minutes, send to Ginny. We will approve at the next meeting.

Neighborhood Meeting – John Simoneau reported out on the neighborhood meeting. Their concerns included the following: student behavior on the field, traffic issues including respectful driving, lighting, number of events under the lights.

Meeting dates are listed in agenda for today

Project Review Board Meeting
a. Three members recused themselves because of their opposition to project
b. No binding votes due to not having a quorum
c. Largest hurdle is the lighting
   i. Ordinance – focused on parking lot lights which are limited to 20 feet high
   ii. Specialty applications could be utilized but because of the proximity to neighborhood it will be difficult
   iii. If we pull lights, we will work with the Planning Board to create variance
   iv. If we are going to have lights will have to explain why they need to be 60-80 foot high
   v. Two designs: one LED vs. metal halide
   vi. Landscaping could help with the lighting issue
   vii. Without lights, we lose flexibility for times of games
   viii. In spring, lights should not be an issue. May 1st sunset at 7:43. Light use would be very limited.
d. Project Review Board was fairly supportive of the rest of the project
e. Pat Carroll passed out a sketch. Revised design would need to be in by next Wednesday.
f. What to include?
   i. Bleachers – home side
   ii. Scoreboards do have horns – could move to other side so that the sound is facing the school, rather than the neighbors
   iii. Storage building
   iv. Future items: visitor bleachers, ticket booths, concession building: would go back to project review board for an addition when needed.
v. Submit and get approval
vi. P.A. system will need to be addressed. Attendance numbers will help with estimation. Acoustic consultant will be helpful with this. These may need to be mounted on their own poles on school side poles pointing back.
Consultant said they could be on existing poles. Need sound so participants on tracks can hear upcoming events.

1. In soccer, horn is blown when there needs to be substitutions.

g. Other issues to consider:
   i. May need to be another vehicle access for emergency. Would enter by Morse Street.
   ii. Landscape on north side; Pat will need to work with the neighbors. Also, having property line remarked. Surveyor will be out next week. Thinking we will put in a hedge of large shrubs. Could also look at fast growing pines. May bring in an arborist.
   iii. Trying to be on March agenda.
   iv. Athletic lighting ordinance will be done late.
   v. Work on the guidelines
      1. Estimates of attendance from our lights/night game – were 500
      2. Could look at adding some Saturday day games to lessen night games.
      3. Yarmouth played 19 games at night this past fall
      4. Kim, Tim, and Craig will work on the revision
   vi. Traffic and Parking:
      1. Not under Project Review Board purview
      2. But would like us to go to them.
      3. We do have a traffic study. We have a parking map.
      4. Contact at L.L. Bean – Don Forster
      5. Ordinance does not allow us to put up temporary signs
      6. Maps/brochures issued to visiting teams
      7. Can we add on to L.L. Bean signs?
      8. If night games, may need some type of pedestrian lighting.
   vii. Discussed revisions to the design
      1. Added another triple jump/long jump
      2. Can’t put it inside the track due to the width of our design
   viii. Feb. 17th – next meeting at 7:30 in Room 102

Action Steps:

a. Craig, Kim, Tim work on guidelines
b. Andrew/Pat Revised plan to town by next Wednesday March 1st agenda
c. Becky – notify Donna that we are pulling lights from application: in writing
d. Becky/Dennis – work on parking with L.L. Bean
e. Pat – talking points of parking to Becky

Next agenda:

- Review revised guidelines
- Review revised plan